Crowe Critical Appraisal Tool (CCAT) Form (v1.4)

Citation

Reference

This form must be used in conjunction with the CCAT User Guide (v1.4); otherwise validity and reliability may be severely compromised.

Year

Research design (add if	not listed)								
Not research	Article Editorial Report Opinion Guideline Pamphlet								
Historical									
Qualitative	Narrative Phenomenology Ethnography Grounded theory Narrative case study								
Descriptive, Exploration	A. Cross-sectional Longitudinal Retrospective Prospective Correlational Predictive								
Observational	B. Cohort Case-control Survey Developmental Normative Case study								
Experimental	 True Pre-test/post-test control group Solomon four-group Post-test only control group Randomised two-factor experiment Placebo controlled trial 								
	Quasi- Post-test only Non-equivalent control group Counter balanced (cross-over) Multiple time series experiment Separate sample pre-test post-test [no Control] [Control]								
	Single One-shot experimental (case study) Simple time series One group pre-test/post-test Interactive Multiple baseline system Within subjects (Equivalent time, repeated measures, multiple treatment)								
Mixed Methods	Action research Sequential Concurrent Transformative								
Synthesis	Systematic review Critical review Thematic synthesis Meta-ethnography Narrative synthesis								
Other									

Variables and analysis		
Intervention(s), Treatment(s), Exposure(s)	Outcome(s), Output(s), Predictor(s), Measure(s)	Data analysis method(s)

Sampling										
Total size	Group 1		Group 2		Group 3		Group 4		Control	
Population, sample, setting										
Data collection	ı (add if not listed)									
a) Primary Secondary Audit/Review b) Authoritative Partisan Antagonist			a) Formal Informal Interview b) Structured Semi-structured Unstructured							

Scores	
c) Covert Candid	c) One-on-one Group Self-administered
Observation b) Structured Semi-structured Unstructured	Testing b) Objective Subjective
a) Participant Non-participant	a) Standardised Norm-ref Criterion-ref Ipsative
c) Literature Systematic	c) One-on-one Group Multiple Self-administered

360163					
Preliminaries	Design	Data Collection	Results	Total [/40]	
Introduction	Sampling	Ethical Matters	Discussion	Total [%]	

General notes

Appraise research on the merits of the research design used, not against other research designs.

Category	Item descriptors	Description	Score
1. Preliminaries			[0-5]
Title	1. Includes study aims 🗆 and design 📮		
Abstract	1. Key information D		
(assess last)	2. Balanced 🗅 and informative 🗅		
Text (assess last)	1. Sufficient detail others could reproduce 2. Clear/concise writing		
		Preliminaries [/5]	
2. Introduction			
Background	1. Summary of current knowledge 2. Specific problem(s) addressed and reason(s) for addressing		
Objective	1. Primary objective(s), hypothesis(es), or aim(s) 2. Secondary guestion(s)		
	Is it worth continuing?	Introduction [/5]	
3. Design		· · · · · · ·	
Research design	1. Research design(s) chosen 🗆 and why 🗆		
	2. Suitability of research design(s)		
Intervention, Treatment, Exposure	Intervention(s)/treatment(s)/exposure(s) chosen		
Outcome, Output, Predictor, Measure	1. Outcome(s)/output(s)/predictor(s)/measure(s) chosen and why 2. Clearly define outcome(s)/output(s)/predictor(s)/measure(s) 3. Outcome(s)/output(s)/oredictor(s)/measure(s) valid and reliable		
Bias, etc	1. Potential bias		
L	Is it worth continuing?	Design [/5]	
4. Sampling			
Sampling method	1. Sampling method(s) chosen 🗆 and why 🖵		
Sample size	2. Suitability of sampling method 1. Sample size , how chosen ., and why		
Sampling protocol	2. Suitability of sample size 1. Target/actual/sample population(s): description and suitability		
	 Participants/cases/groups: inclusion □ and exclusion □ criteria Recruitment of participants/cases/groups □ 		
	Is it worth continuing?	Sampling [/5]	
5. Data collection			
Collection method	1. Collection method(s) chosen 🗆 and why 🗖 2. Suitability of collection method(s) 🗍		
Collection protocol	1. Include date(s) □, location(s) □, setting(s) □, personnel □, materials □, processes □ 2. Method(s) to ensure/enhance quality of measurement/instrumentation □ 3. Manage non-natificination □ withdrawal □ incomplete/lost data □		
	Is it worth continuing?	Data collection [/5]	
6. Ethical matters		· · · · · · ·	
Participant ethics	1. Informed consent 🗅, equity 🖵		
-	2. Privacy , confidentiality/anonymity		
Researcher ethics	 Ethical approval		
	Is it worth continuing?	Ethical matters [/5]	
7. Results		-	
Analysis, Integration, Interpretation method	1. A.I.I. method(s) for primary outcome(s)/output(s)/predictor(s) chosen _ and why _ 2. Additional A.I.I. methods (e.g. subgroup analysis) chosen _ and why _ 3. Suitability of analysis/integration/interpretation_method(s) _		
Essential analysis	 Flow of participants/cases/groups through each stage of research Demographic and other characteristics of participants/cases/groups 		
Outcome Output	3. Analyse raw data		
Predictor analysis	Consideration of benefits/harms D, unexpected results D, problems/failures D S. Description of outlying data (e.g. diverse cases, adverse effects, minor themes) D		
		Results [/5]	
8. Discussion			
Interpretation	 Interpretation of results in the context of current evidence and objectives Zoraw inferences consistent with the strength of the data Gonsideration of alternative explanations for observed results Account for bias confoundine/effect modifiers/interactions/imprecision 		
Generalisation	1. Consideration of overall practical usefulness of the study 🖵		
Concluding remarks	2. Description of generalisability (external validity) of the study 1. Highlight study's particular strengths 2. Second Strengths		
	 2. Suggest steps that may improve future results (e.g. limitations) □ 3. Suggest further studies □ 		
		Discussion [/5]	
9. Total			
Total score	1. Auu all scores for categories 1–8	<u> </u>	
		Total [/40]	